

ISSN: 2582-7219



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.206

Volume 8, Issue 2, February 2025

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET) (A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

Root Causes and Impact of Inadequate Scope Definition in the Nigerian Construction Industry

Dr. Henry C. Ajaelu¹, Rita C. Anokwuru², Loveth O. Ozougwu³

Department of Quantity Surveying, ESUT, Enugu, Nigeria¹ Department of Project Management, ESUT, Business School, Enugu, Nigeria² Department of Quantity Surveying, ESUT, Enugu, Nigeria³

ABSTRACT: The Nigerian construction industry plays a pivotal role in economic growth, yet it faces significant challenges, including project delays, cost overruns, and compromised quality. This study investigates the root causes and impact of inadequate scope definition in the Nigerian construction industry, aiming to provide actionable insights for improving project outcomes. A mixed-method approach was adopted, with data collected from 285 professionals across major construction firms via structured questionnaires. Descriptive statistics and regression analysis were employed to identify key factors contributing to inadequate scope definition and their associated impacts. The findings reveal that unclear project objectives, inadequate stakeholder engagement, and insufficient planning are the primary contributors to scope mismanagement. These issues correlate strongly (R=0.87) with project delays, cost escalations, and quality compromises. Figures, including a regression graph showing the relationship between scope definition and delays, pie charts summarizing causes, and bar charts illustrating impacts, provide visual support for these results. The study concludes that effective scope management practices, such as early stakeholder involvement and the use of advanced tools like Building Information Modeling (BIM), are critical for mitigating these challenges. Detailed recommendations are provided to enhance scope clarity, improve stakeholder alignment, and reduce project risks. By addressing these issues, the Nigerian construction industry can achieve more efficient project execution and deliver higher-quality outcomes, ultimately fostering economic growth and infrastructure development.

KEYWORDS: Scope Definition, Construction Industry, Scope Creep, Project Management, Stakeholder Engagement

I. INTRODUCTION

The construction sector in Nigeria significantly contributes to the nation's GDP, providing essential infrastructure and employment opportunities. However, its potential is hindered by persistent challenges, such as frequent delays, budget overruns, and compromised project quality. Central to these challenges is inadequate scope definition, a critical component of project planning and management.

Scope definition involves clearly outlining a project's objectives, deliverables, timelines, and constraints to provide a roadmap for all stakeholders. A poorly defined scope results in ambiguity, misaligned expectations, and frequent changes during project execution, often referred to as scope creep. This issue has been particularly detrimental to large-scale public infrastructure projects in Nigeria, such as the Lagos-Ibadan Expressway, which faced prolonged delays and budget escalations due to unclear scope parameters.

Existing literature emphasizes the importance of clear scope management in achieving project success. For example, Turner (2020) reports that well-defined scopes can reduce cost overruns by 40% and project delays by 50%. However, despite its importance, inadequate scope definition remains a pervasive problem in Nigeria's construction industry.

This paper aims to explore the root causes of inadequate scope definition and its impact on project outcomes. By identifying these causes and assessing their effects on cost, time, and quality, this study seeks to provide actionable insights for improving scope management practices in the Nigerian construction sector. The findings will serve as a resource for industry practitioners and policymakers, enabling more efficient project planning and execution.

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206| ESTD Year: 2018|



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Effective scope definition is widely regarded as a cornerstone of successful project management. According to the Project Management Institute (PMI, 2021), scope management ensures alignment among stakeholders, minimizes risks, and prevents scope creep. However, studies have highlighted several factors contributing to inadequate scope definition in the construction industry.

Causes of Inadequate Scope Definition

Research identifies unclear project objectives as a primary factor. Clients often have vague expectations, leading to discrepancies in project deliverables (Omopariola et al., 2021). Insufficient stakeholder engagement further exacerbates this issue, as critical inputs from contractors, subcontractors, and regulatory bodies are often overlooked (AL-Fadhali, 2021). Additionally, inadequate planning and risk assessment result in overlooked project requirements and potential challenges (Gamil & Abd Rahman, 2021).

Impact on Project Outcomes

The consequences of poor scope definition are multifaceted. Cost overruns are a prevalent issue; Nkado and Mbachu (2021) report that over 50% of construction projects in Nigeria exceed their budgets due to scope mismanagement. Similarly, Ogunbiyi and Ajayi (2021) note that scope-related delays affect over 70% of large infrastructure projects. Moreover, compromised quality standards, evident in Nigeria's frequent building collapses, are often linked to poorly defined project scopes (Adekunle, 2021).

Mitigation Strategies

Several strategies have been proposed to address these challenges. Early and continuous stakeholder involvement is crucial for aligning expectations and ensuring all project requirements are identified (Smith et al., 2021). The adoption of advanced tools such as Building Information Modeling (BIM) can enhance scope visualization and coordination (Herrera et al., 2021). Additionally, a formal change management process can help control scope creep by evaluating the impact of any modifications on project timelines and budgets (Fadare et al., 2021).

III. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a mixed-method approach, combining quantitative and qualitative data. A survey was distributed to 285 professionals from leading construction firms, including Monier and Lubrik Construction Companies. The questionnaire included both closed and open-ended questions, focusing on the causes and effects of inadequate scope definition. Data analysis was conducted using SPSS, with descriptive statistics summarizing the data and regression analysis identifying the relationships between variables.

IV. RESULTS

The results highlight that inadequate stakeholder engagement (45%), unclear project objectives (30%), and insufficient planning (25%) are the leading causes of scope mismanagement. Regression analysis shows a strong correlation (R=0.87) between poor scope definition and project delays. Furthermore, over 60% of respondents reported significant cost overruns attributed to scope changes, while 70% identified compromised project quality as a direct outcome.

Cause	Percentage of Respondents
Inadequate Stakeholder Engagement	45%
Unclear Project Objectives	30%
Insufficient Planning	25%

Table 1: Key Causes of Inadequate Scope Definition

This table underscores the prevalence of inadequate stakeholder engagement as the leading cause of scope mismanagement. Addressing this issue through early and active stakeholder participation can reduce project risks.

ISSN: 2582-7219 | www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206 | ESTD Year: 2018 |



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

Table 2: Impact of Poor Scope Definition on Project Outcomes

Impact	Percentage of Respondents
Project Delays	70%
Cost Overruns	60%
Compromised Quality	70%

The data in this table illustrate the significant negative impacts of poor scope definition. It emphasizes the necessity of robust scope management practices to ensure project success.

V. CONCLUSION

This study underscores the detrimental impact of inadequate scope definition on construction project outcomes in Nigeria. It highlights the critical need for robust scope management practices to mitigate cost overruns, delays, and quality issues.

Recommendations

- 1. Ensure early and active stakeholder involvement during project planning.
- 2. Employ advanced project management tools such as BIM for better scope visualization.
- 3. Implement a formal change management process to control scope creep.
- 4. Conduct regular scope reviews to ensure alignment with project objectives.
- 5. Provide training for project managers on best practices in scope management.

REFERENCES

- 1. Omopariola, A., et al. (2021). Effective scope management practices. International Journal of Project Management.
- 2. Turner, R. (2020). Scope definition and project success. Journal of Construction Engineering, 45(3), 245-259.
- 3. Nkado, R., & Mbachu, J. (2021). Cost overruns in construction projects. *Nigerian Journal of Quantity Surveying*, 18(1), 55-72.
- 4. Adekunle, A. (2021). Building collapses in Nigeria. Journal of Structural Integrity, 12(2), 101-115.
- 5. Smith, B., et al. (2021). Stakeholder engagement in construction projects. Construction Management Review, 33(4), 311-329.
- 6. Gamil, Y., & Abd Rahman, I. (2021). Risk assessment in construction projects. *Journal of Construction Risk,* 29(2), 45-67.
- 7. Herrera, R., et al. (2021). BIM for scope visualization. *Journal of Construction Technology*, 15(3), 98-112.
- 8. Fadare, T., et al. (2021). Change management in construction. Project Management Quarterly, 29(3), 201-219.
- 9. Ogunbiyi, M., & Ajayi, T. (2021). Delays in Nigerian infrastructure projects. Journal of Civil Engineering Research, 9(4), 135-150.
- 10. Kerzner, H. (2021). Project management best practices. Project Management Today, 27(2), 89-105.
- 11. Ukachukwu, E., & Edo, P. (2021). Public infrastructure failures in Nigeria. *Infrastructure Development Journal*, 14(1), 27-45.
- 12. Murray, J. (2021). Construction project timelines. Journal of Construction Planning, 10(4), 111-130.
- 13. Iroha, T., Watanabe, S., & Satoshi, Y. (2021). Urban infrastructure challenges. *Journal of Urban Development*, 22(3), 56-70.
- 14. Shyu, C. (2021). Scope creep in developing economies. Global Project Management Review, 34(2), 78-94.
- 15. Oluka, D., et al. (2021). Project performance metrics. *Nigerian Journal of Construction Management, 20*(3), 63-88.





INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Mobile No: +91-6381907438 | Whatsapp: +91-6381907438 | ijmrset@gmail.com |

www.ijmrset.com